

CAPACITY THEOREM OF H. BREZIS AND F.E. BROWDER TYPE IN WEIGHTED VARIABLE EXPONENT SOBOLEV SPACES AND APPLICATION TO THE UNILATERAL PROBLEM

M.C. Hassib^{1*}, Y. Akdim²

¹University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculty poly-disciplinary of Taza,
Laboratory: LSI, TAZA, Morocco

²University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculty of science dhar El Mahraz,
Laboratory: LAMA, Fez, Morocco

Abstract. In this paper we give and prove some properties of the capacity theory in the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces, we generalize the Theorem of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder in the setting of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces, which extends the previous result of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder and we make an application to an unilateral problem.

Keywords: Weighted variable exponent sobolev spaces, capacity, Theorem of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder, unilateral problem.

AMS Subject Classification: 46E35, 31B15, 46E30, 35J86, 31A15, 35N05.

Corresponding author: Moulay Cherif, Hassib, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculty poly-disciplinary of Taza, Laboratory: LSI, TAZA, Morocco, e-mail: moulaycherif.hassib@usmba.ac.ma

Received: 02 December 2021; Revised: 10 June, 2022; Accepted: 12 July, 2022;

Published: 30 November 2023.

1 Introduction

The capacity plays a very important role in the study of the potential theory which becomes an extensive domain of research rich in ideas and methods applied in our time in mathematics and physics, particularly in function theory, functional analysis, probability theory, approximation theory and harmonic analysis.

The theory of capacity and non-linear potential in the classical Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$, was mainly studied in Maz'ya & Khavin (1972) and Meyers (1970). These authors in their previous works have introduced the concept of capacity and non-linear potential in these spaces and provided very rich applications in functional analysis, harmonic analysis and in the theory of partial differential equations.

This notion was generalized to Orlicz spaces by Aissaoui & Benkirane (1994), to Musielak-Orlicz spaces by Hassib et al. (2017) and to the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces by Ismail (2012). Thus, the first goal of this paper we gives and prove some proprieties of the $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ capacity in the setting of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces defined by Ismail (2012). As an application we generalize the theorem of Browder F.F by Browder F.E. in the setting of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev space, which extends the previous result of Brezis & Browder (1982), and we make an application to an unilateral problem.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Variable Exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$),

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{\text{continuous function } p(\cdot) : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } 1 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ \leq N\},$$

where

$$p_- = \min\{p(x) / x \in \overline{\Omega}\} \quad \text{and} \quad p_+ = \max\{p(x) / x \in \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space for $p(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} / \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\}.$$

The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a uniformly convex Banach space, and therefore reflexive. We denote by $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$ (see Zhao et al (1997)).

Proposition 1. (see Zhikov (2004), Zhao et al (1997))

If we denote

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \quad \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),$$

then, the following assertions holds

- (i) $\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1$ (resp, $= 1, > 1$) $\iff \rho(u) < 1$ (resp, $= 1, > 1$),
- (ii) $\|u\|_{p(x)} > 1 \implies \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_+}$,
- (iii) $\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1 \implies \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_-}$,
- (iv) $\|u_n\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \iff \rho(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\|u_n\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty \iff \rho(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we define the variable exponent Sobolev space by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\},$$

normed by

$$\|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

Where $\nabla u = (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}; \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}; \dots; \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N})$.

2.2 The weighted variable exponents Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, set

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{p \in C(\Omega) : \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p(x) \geq 1\},$$

For all $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, we define $p^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x)$ and $p_- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x)$.

We say that $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous in Ω if

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log|x - y||} \quad \forall x, y \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ such that } |x - y| < \frac{1}{2},$$

We denote by $P^{log}(\Omega)$ the class of variable exponents which are log-Hölder continuous in Ω .

Let ω be a function defined in Ω , ω is called a weight function in Ω if she is measurable and strictly positive a.e. in Ω .

Let $p \in C_+(\Omega)$ and ω be a weighted function in Ω . The weighted variable exponents Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$, consists of all real valued functions such that

$$u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable and } \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty$$

ie

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable} : \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

provided with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

$L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space.

Lemma 1. *Kovacik & Rakonsik (1991)* For all function $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$, we denoted

$$\rho_{\Omega, \omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |u|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Then

(i) $\rho_{\Omega, \omega}(u) > 1$ ($= 1; < 1$) $\Leftrightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} > 1$ ($= 1; < 1$), respectively.

(ii) If $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} > 1$ then $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{\Omega, \omega}(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^+}$.

(iii) If $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} < 1$ then $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{\Omega, \omega}(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^-}$.

We define the weighted variable exponents Sobolev space by

$$W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega), i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

provided with the norme

$$\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}$$

which is equivalent to the Luxemburg norme

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \frac{u}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} + \omega(x) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let ω a weight function such that the following conditions:

(w₁) $\omega \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\omega^{\frac{1}{p(x)-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$;

(w₂) $\omega^{-s(x)} \in L^1(\Omega, \omega)$ with $s(x) \in (\frac{N}{p(x)}, \infty) \cap [\frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty)$.

Remark 1. *Kovacik & Rakonsik (1991)*

• Let ω be a positive measurable and finite function such the condition (w₁) holds. Then the space $(W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega), \|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)})$ is a reflexive Banach space.

• If (w₁) holds, then $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space and $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$.

• The dual of the weighted Sobolev space $W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ is equivalent to $W_0^{-1, p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$,

where $\omega^* = \omega^{1-p'(x)}$ and $p'(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}$.

Remark 2. Kovacik & Rakonsik (1991) If we set

$$\rho_{(\Omega, \omega)}^1(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} + \omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$$

We have

$$\min(\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p-}, \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p+}) \leq \rho_{(\Omega, \omega)}^1(u) \leq \max(\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p-}, \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p+}).$$

Definition 1. Diening & Hasto (2008) Let $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$. The class $A_{p(\cdot)}$ consists of those weight ω for which

$$\sup_{B \in \mathbf{B}} |B|^{-\mathbf{p}_B} \|\omega\|_{L^1(B)} \left\| \frac{1}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p'(\cdot)}{p(\cdot)}}} < \infty,$$

where \mathbf{B} denotes the set of balls in \mathbb{R}^N , $\mathbf{p}_B = \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \frac{1}{p(x)} \right)^{-1}$

Theorem 1. Ismail (2012) Let $p(\cdot) \in P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$. Then $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$.

In the following ω is a positive measurable and finite function which satisfies the condition (w_1) .

The following Lemma can be proved similarly in Lemma 2.4 of Benkirane et al. (2013).

Lemma 2. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$. There exists a sequence u_n such that:

- (i) $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$,
- (ii) $\text{supp } u_n$ is compact,
- (iii) $|u_n| \leq |u|$ a.e. in Ω ,
- (iv) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$,
- (v) $u_n u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω .

2.3 Capacity

Definitions 1. Let T the classe of Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^N , and a function $C : T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$.

1) C is called capacity if the following axioms are satisfied:

- i) $C(\emptyset) = 0$.
- ii) $X \subset Y \Rightarrow C(X) \leq C(Y)$, for all X and Y in T .
- iii) For all sequences $(X_n) \subset T$:

$$C\left(\bigcup_n X_n\right) \leq \sum_n C(X_n).$$

2) C is called outer capacity if for all $X \in T$:

$$C(X) = \inf\{C(O) : O \supset X, \ O \text{ open}\}.$$

3) C is called an interior capacity if for all $X \in T$:

$$C(X) = \sup\{C(K) : K \subset X, \ K \text{ compact}\}.$$

4) A property, that holds true except perhaps on a set of capacity zero, is said to be true C -quasi-everywhere, (abbreviated C -q.e.).

5) f and (f_n) are real-valued finite functions C -q.e. We say that (f_n) converges to f in C -capacity if:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

6) f and (f_n) are real-valued finite functions C -q.e. We say that (f_n) converges to f C -quasi-uniformly, (abbreviated C -q.u) if :

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0), (\exists X \in T) : C(X) < \varepsilon \text{ and } (f_n) \text{ converges to } f \text{ uniformly on } X^c.$$

Propositions 1. Ismail (2012) For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote

$$S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = \{u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega) : u \geq 1 \text{ on an open set containing } E\}.$$

The Sobolev $(p(\cdot), \omega)$ -capacity of E is defined by

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = \inf_{u \in S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E)} \rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}(u) = \inf_{u \in S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E)} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \omega(x) dx.$$

In case $S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = \emptyset$, we set $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = \infty$. The $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -capacity has the following proprieties.

- (i) $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(\emptyset) = 0$.
- (ii) $X \subset Y \Rightarrow C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) \leq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(Y)$,
- (iii) For all sequences $X_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}\left(\bigcup_n X_n\right) \leq \sum_n C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X_n). \quad (1)$$

(iv) For all $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) = \inf\{C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O) : O \supset X, \text{ } O \text{ open}\}. \quad (2)$$

(v) For all $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) = \sup\{C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(K) : K \subset X, \text{ } K \text{ compact}\}. \quad (3)$$

(vi) If there exists $f \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$ such that $f = +\infty$ on E then,

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = 0 \quad (4)$$

(vii) If (X_n) is an increasing sequence of sets and $X = \bigcup_n X_n$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X_n) = C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X). \quad (5)$$

(viii) If X and Y are subset of \mathbb{R}^N , then

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X \cup Y) + C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X \cap Y) \leq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) + C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(Y). \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3. Ismail (2012)

If $\omega(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then every measurable set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies

$$|X| \leq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X), \quad (7)$$

where $|X|$ is the lebesgue measure of X .

3 The Main Results

3.1 Some proprieties of the $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -capacity.

Theorem 2. *Let's consider the following propositions:*

- i) $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$.
 - ii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ - capacity.
 - iii) There exists a subsequence (f_{n_j}) such that : $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$, $C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.u.$
 - iv) There exists a subsequence (f_{n_j}) such that $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$, $C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e.$
- We have i) \Rightarrow ii) \Rightarrow iii) \Rightarrow iv)

Proof. Let show that i) \Rightarrow ii).

By (4) we have f and f_n are finite for every n ; $C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e.$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(\{x : |f_n - f|(x) > \varepsilon\}) \leq \rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}\left(\frac{f_n - f}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$, then

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) : \rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}\left(\frac{f_n - f}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(\{x : |f_n - f|(x) > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

Let show that ii) \Rightarrow iii).

Let $\varepsilon > 0 \exists f_{n_j}$ such that $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(\{x : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) > 2^{-j}\}) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-j}$.

We put

$$E_j = \{x : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) > 2^{-j}\} \text{ and } G_m = \bigcup_{j \geq m} E_j,$$

we have $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(G_m) \leq \sum_{j \geq m} \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-j} < \varepsilon$.

On the other hand,

$$(\forall x \in (G_m)^c) : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) \leq 2^{-j}, (\forall j \geq m).$$

Thus

$$f_{n_j} \rightarrow f \text{ } C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.u.$$

Let show that iii) \Rightarrow iv).

We have $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists X_j : C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X_j) \leq \frac{1}{j}$ and $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ on $(X_j)^c$.

We put $X = \bigcap_j X_j$, then $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) = 0$ and $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ on X^c . □

Theorem 3. *Let ω a positive measurable and finite function, such the condition (w_1) holds. If $f_n, f \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$ such that $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$, then*

$$\liminf (f_n)(x) \leq f(x) \leq \limsup f_n(x) \text{ } C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e.$$

Proof. $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$ is reflexive. By the Banach-Saks theorem, there is a subsequence denoted again (f_n) such that the sequence; $g_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ converge to f strongly in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$. By theorem 2, there is a subsequence of (g_n) denoted again (g_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n(x) = f(x) \text{ } C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e.$$

On the other hand,

$$\liminf f_n(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n(x) \quad .$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) \leq f(x) \quad C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e.$$

For the second inequality, it suffices to replace f_n by $(-f_n)$ in the first inequality. \square

Theorem 4. Let $p(\cdot) \in P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$.

For each $f \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$, there is a $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -quasicontinuous function $g \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$ such that $f = g$ $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -q.e. The function g is called a $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -quasicontinuous representative of the function f .

Proof. Let $f \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$. By theorem 1, there exists a sequence (f_n) in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$.

By theorem 2, there exists a subsequence of (f_n) denoted again by (f_n) such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ $C_\varphi - q.u$, and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 5. Let $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, we have

1) If O is an open set of \mathbb{R}^N and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|E| = 0$, then

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O) = C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O \setminus E).$$

2) u and v are $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -quasicontinuous functions in \mathbb{R}^N , we have

i) if $u = v$, almost everywhere in an open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ then $u = v$ $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -quasieverywhere in O ,

ii) if $u \leq v$, almost everywhere in an open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ then $u \leq v$ $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ -quasieverywhere in O .

Proof. 1) It obvious that $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O) \geq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O \setminus E)$. Let $u \in S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O \setminus E)$ thus $u \geq 1$ in an open containing $O \setminus E$. Let the function f define as

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = u(x) & , \text{ if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E \\ f(x) = 1 & , \text{ if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

We have $f \in S_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O)$ and $\rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}(f) = \rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}(u)$, thus

$$C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O) \leq \rho_{1,p(\cdot),\omega}(u),$$

and by passing to inf we get $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O) \leq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(O \setminus E)$.

2) Since $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}$ is an outer capacity we get the results by Kilpeläinen (1998). \square

Theorem 6. Let $w(x) \geq 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. If $(f_n)_n$ is a sequence which converge to f in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$, then there exists a subsequence of $(f_n)_n$ which converge to f q.e and a.e.

Proof. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N, \omega)$, then by theorem 2 there exists a subsequence (f_{n_j}) such that $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$, $C_{p(\cdot),\omega} - q.e$.

Thus there exists a measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^N such that $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ in E^c and $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E) = 0$. By Lemma 3 we have $|E| \leq C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(E)$, therefore $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ a.e. \square

Lemma 4. Let Ω be a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . and $T \in W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega^*) \cap M(\Omega)$, where $M(\Omega)$ denote the set of Radon measures in Ω .

If $X \subset \Omega$ is such that $C_{p(\cdot),\omega}(X) = 0$, then X is $|T|$ -measurable and $|T|(X) = 0$.

Proof. The same as in GRUN-Rehomme (1977) and Brezis & Browder (1982). \square

3.2 A theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder type in weighted variable exponents Sobolev space

Let $p(\cdot) \in P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, $\omega(x) \geq 1$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$.

In this section, we generalize the theorem of H Brezis and F.E. Browder Brezis & Browder (1982) in the setting of the weighted variable exponents Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$.

Let Ω be a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . In this section we study the following question: let $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ and $T \in W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$ such that $T = \mu + h$, where μ lies in $M^+(\Omega)$ (the subset of positive Radon measures) and h in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$; find sufficient conditions on the data in order for u to belong to $L^1(\Omega; d\mu)$, for hu to belong to $L^1(\Omega)$ and finally to have:

$$\langle T, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h u dx.$$

This question was solved in Boccardo et al. (1990) in the case of the classical Sobolev spaces, in Benkirane & Gossez (1994) when $\mu = 0$ in the case of Orlicz Sobolev spaces, in Benkirane (1986) in the case of Orlicz Sobolev spaces and in Hassib et al. (2017) in the case of Musielak-Orlicz Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 7. *Let Ω be a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Consider $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$, $u \geq 0$ a.e in Ω and $T \in W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$ such that $T = \mu + h$, where μ lie in $M^+(\Omega)$ (the subset of positive Radon measures) and $h \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$, assume that:*

$$hu \geq -|\Phi| \text{ a.e in } \Omega \text{ for some } \Phi \text{ in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (8)$$

Then:

$$hu \in L^1(\Omega), u \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu) \text{ and } \langle T, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h u dx. \quad (9)$$

Remark 3. *Note that $\mu(X) = 0$ for all $X \subset \Omega$ such that $C_{p(\cdot), \omega}(X) = 0$. Indeed by lemma 4*

$$|T|(X) = |\mu + h|(X) = 0,$$

but

$$0 \leq \mu(X) \leq |h|(X) + |\mu + h|(X) = 0.$$

Let prove the theorem 7.

Proof. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$, by Lemma 2 there exists a sequence u_n such that:

- (i) $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$,
- (ii) $\text{supp } u_n$ is compact,
- (iii) $|u_n| \leq |u|$ a.e. in Ω ,
- (v) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$.
- (vi) $u_n u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω .

Following the lines of Boccardo et al. (1990), it is easy to deduce that

$$\langle \mu + h, u_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h u_n dx \quad (10)$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$, by using the theorem6, Lemma4 and remark3 we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e and a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (11)$$

We recall that by theorem 5, for any $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ one has

$$v \geq 0 \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega \Leftrightarrow v \geq 0 \quad q.e. \text{ in } \Omega.$$

This equivalence, remark 3 and the fact ($u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω), imply

$$u_n \geq 0 \quad a.e. ; \quad u_n \geq 0 \quad \mu.a.e. ; \quad 0 \leq u_n \leq u \quad a.e. \text{ and } 0 \leq u_n \leq u \quad \mu.a.e. \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, from $hu \geq -|\Phi|$ and $0 \leq u_n \leq u$ a.e. in Ω we have

$$hu_n \geq -|\Phi| \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega \quad (13)$$

Since $\langle \mu + h, u_n \rangle$ is bounded, (10) and (12) imply $\int_{\Omega} hu_n dx \leq cst$; Similary (10) and (13) imply $\int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu \leq cst$.

By using (11), (12), (13) and Fatou's lemma we get $hu \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu)$.

Using $0 \leq u_n \leq u$ $\mu.a.e.$ in Ω and $|hu_n| \leq |h|u_n$ a.e. in Ω , it is now easy to pass to the limit in (10); we use the convergence of u_n to u in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ for the left hand side and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem in each term of the right hand side: we obtain

$$\langle T, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h u dx.$$

□

3.3 Application to unilateral problem

Let $p(\cdot) \in P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, $\omega(x) \geq 1$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$.

Consider some right hand side $f \in W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$ and the set

$$K_{\Phi} = \{v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega), v \geq \Phi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}.$$

Where the obstacle Φ belong to $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

The set K_{Φ} is convex, indeed let v_1 and v_2 in K_{Φ} and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have $v_1 \geq \Phi$ and $v_2 \geq \Phi$ a.e. in Ω then $\lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2 \geq \Phi$ a.e. in Ω , thus $\lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2 \in K_{\Phi}$.

Let a pseudo-monotone mapping S from $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ into $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$. which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) S is continuous from each finite-dimensional subspace of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ into $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$ for the weak* topology.

(2) S maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

(3) S is coercive, i.e that for some v_0 in $K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\frac{\langle S(v), v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}} \longrightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \longrightarrow +\infty. \quad (14)$$

Consider finally a Carathéodory function $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ witch satisfies :

(4) $s.g(x, s) \geq 0$, $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. in Ω ,

(5) $h_t = \sup_{|s| \leq t} |g(x, s)| \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Theorem 8. *The variational inequality:*

$$u \in K_{\Phi}, \quad g(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega), \quad u g(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega)$$

$$\langle Su, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(v - u) dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

has at least one solution.

Proof. In the following we denote by C_1 , C_2 and C_3 , positive constants.

First part *Approximation and a priori estimates.*

$$\text{Define } g_n(x, s) = \begin{cases} \chi_{\Theta_n}(x)g(x, s) & \text{if } |g(x, s)| \leq n, \\ \chi_{\Theta_n}(x)n \frac{g(x, s)}{|g(x, s)|} & \text{if } |g(x, s)| > n, \end{cases}$$

where χ_{Θ_n} is the characteristic function of the set $\Theta_n = \{x \in \Omega : |x| \leq n\}$

By using the proposition 1 of Gossez & Mustonen (1987) we have the approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in K_\Phi, \\ \langle Su_n, v - u_n \rangle + \int_\Omega g_n(\cdot, u_n)(v - u_n)dx \geq \langle f, v - u_n \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K_\Phi \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

has at least one solution.

Using $v = v_0$ as test function in (15) we get

$$\langle Su_n, u_n - v_0 \rangle + \int_\Omega g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \leq \langle f, u_n - v_0 \rangle. \quad (16)$$

If (u_n) is not bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ then by the assumptions **(3)** we have

$$(\forall A > 0)(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \geq n_0) : \left(\frac{\langle S(u_n), u_n - v_0 \rangle}{\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}} > A \right) \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } E_n &= \{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) \geq 0\}, \text{ by (16) and (17) we have for large } n : A\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} + \\ &\int_{E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx + \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx + \|f\|_{W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)}\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|f\|_{W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)}\|v_0\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $G_n = \{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) \geq v_0(x)\}$ and $l = \sup(|v_0|, |\Phi|)$.

By the assumption **(4)** and **(5)** we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_n \cap G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx &\geq 0, \\ \int_{E_n \cap G_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx &\geq 0, \\ \int_{E_n \cap G_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx &\leq \int_\Omega |h||l|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} v_0, \\ \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx &\geq 0, \\ \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx &\leq \int_\Omega |h||\Phi|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} \leq C_1, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

which is impossible, thus (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

It follows that there exists a subsequence, again denoted by u_n such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus

$$g_n(x, u_n(x)) \longrightarrow g(x, u(x)) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

From (16) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx \leq C_2. \quad (18)$$

We shall prove

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)| dx \leq C_3.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)| dx &= \int_{G_n \cap E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx - \int_{G_n \cap E_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{G_n^c \cap E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx + \int_{G_n^c \cap E_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx - 2 \int_{G_n \cap E_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{G_n^c \cap E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0) dx \\ &\leq C_2 + 2 \int_{G_n \cap E_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n) v_0 dx + 2 \int_{G_n^c \cap E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n) v_0 dx \\ &\leq C_2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |h||b|_{L^\infty} v_0 dx = C_3, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $b = \sup(|\Phi|, |v_0|)$.

In order to prove

$$g_n(\cdot, u_n) \longrightarrow g(\cdot, u) \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega), \quad (20)$$

let us observe that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$|g_n(x, u_n(x))| \leq \sup_{|t| \leq \delta^{-1} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}} |g(\cdot, t)| + \delta |g_n(x, u_n(x))(u_n(x) - v_0(x))|,$$

and there fore, fore any measurable set E in Ω we have

$$\int_E |g_n(\cdot, u_n)| dx \leq \int_E |h|_{\frac{1}{\delta} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}} + \delta C_3.$$

By Vitali's theorem, we obtain (20).

Furthermore by (18) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n) u_n dx \leq C_2 + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n) v_0 dx.$$

By Fatou's lemma and (20), we get

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u) u dx \leq C_2 + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u) v_0 dx.$$

Thus

$$g(\cdot, u) u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Second part : *Passing to the limit in (15)*

Let

$$\mu_n = Su_n - f + g_n(\cdot, u_n).$$

From (15) it is clear that $\mu_n \in M^+(\Omega)$. Since S maps bounded sets of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ in to bounded sets of $W_0^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$, then we can assume for the same sequence that

$$Su_n \rightharpoonup \chi \text{ weakly in } W_0^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_n \longrightarrow \mu \text{ in } D'(\Omega),$$

where

$$\mu = \chi - f + g(\cdot, u).$$

We put $\eta = u - \Phi$, $h = -g(\cdot, u)$ and $T = \mu + h$.

The assumptions of theorem 7 are satisfied since $T = \chi - f \in W_0^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega^*)$ and $h \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Thus

$$\begin{cases} u - \Phi \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu), \\ \langle \chi - f, u - \Phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (u - \Phi) d\mu - \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(u - \Phi) dx. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Using $v = \Phi$ as test function in (15) we get

$$\langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \leq \langle Su_n, \Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi - u_n \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(\Phi - u_n),$$

which gives passing to the limit and then using (21)

$$\begin{cases} \limsup_n \langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \leq \langle \chi, \Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(\Phi - u) dx, \\ \leq \langle \chi, u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} (\Phi - u) d\mu \leq \langle \chi, u \rangle; \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

since, by theorem 5 and remark 3 we have

$$(\Phi - u) \leq 0 \quad \mu.a.e. \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (23)$$

Using (22) and since S is a pseudo - monotone operator, we obtain

$$\chi = Su \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \longrightarrow \langle Su, u \rangle.$$

It is now easy to pass to the limit in (15) for any fixed $v \in K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. □

4 Conclusion

In this work, we have stated and proved some properties of the capacity in the setting of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces. As an application, we generalized the theorem of H Brezis and F.E. Browder in the setting of the weighted variable exponent Sobolev space, and we applied these results in the study of a unilateral problem.

5 Acknowledgement

We would like to express our thanks to the referees for reading the document carefully and for giving useful comments, important suggestions and correcting the original article.

References

- Aissaoui, N., Benkirane, A. (1994). Capacité dans les espaces d'Orlicz. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, 18, 1-23.
- Benkirane, A., Gossez, J.P. (1989). An approximation theorem for higher order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Studia Math.*, 231-255.
- Benkirane, A. (1986). *A theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder type in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and application*. Pitman Res. Notes Math., 10-16.
- Benkirane, A., ElVally, S.M., & Oubeid, A. (2013). Nonlinear Elliptic Equations Involving Measure Data in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces. *Journal of Abstract Differential Equations and Applications*.
- Boccardo, L., Giachetti, D. & Murat, F. (1990). A generalisation of a theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder and applications to some unilateral problems. *Journal of Abstract Differential Equations and Applications Annales de l'I.H.P.*, 367-384.
- Brezis, H., Browder, F. (1982). Some properties of higher-order Sobolev spaces. *J.Math. Pures Appl.*, 245-259.
- Diening, L., Hasto, P. (2008). Muckenhoupt weights in variable exponent spaces. www.helsinki.fi/pharjule/varsob/publications.shtml.
- Gossez, J.P., Mustonen, V. (1987). Variational inequality in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Appl.*, 379-392.
- GRUN-Rehorme, M. (1977). Caractérisation du sous-différentiel d'intégrandes convexes dans les espaces de Sobolev. *J.Math. Pures et Appl.*, 149-156.
- Hassib, M.C., Benkirane, A., Akdim, Y., & Aissaoui, N. (2017). Capacity, theorem of H Brezis and F.E. Browder type in Musielak Orlicz Sobolev spaces and application. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory*, (2), 175-192.
- Ismail, A. (2012). Weighted Variable Sobolev Spaces and Capacity. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*.
- Kilpeläinen, T. (1998). A remark on the uniqueness of quasi continuous functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 261-262.
- Kovacic, O., Rakonsik, J. (1991). on space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *Czechoslovak Math.*, 592-618.
- Maz'ya, V.G., Khavin, V.P. (1972). Nonlinear potential theory. *Uspekhi Math. Nauk*, 67-138.
- Meyers, N.G. (1970). Theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes. *Math, Scand.*, 255-292.
- Zhao, D., Qiang, W.J.Q., & Fan, X.L. (1997). On generalized Orlicz spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. *J.Gansu Sci.*, 1-7.
- Zhikov, V. (2004). Density of smooth functions in Sobolev-Orlicz spaces. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 67-81.